

Acknowledgments

This publication was prepared by the Wetlands Division, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds, in the Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Reginald Parrish initiated project research while Matthew Witten (Wetlands Division 1997 Sea Grant Fellow) collaborated with Parrish to move the project forward. Brett Melone (Wetlands Division 1998 Sea Grant Fellow) continued the project in 1998 with assistance from Lorraine Williams. Through the leadership of Kathleen Kutschenreuter, the project was completed in 2000. Contractor support for document production and design was provided by Tetra Tech, Inc.

The Wetlands Division acknowledges the efforts of the Tribal Wetland Work Group (TWWG), whose members helped shape the project. The TWWG was formed in late 1997 to serve as a sounding board for the project and to provide information on Tribes with wetland programs. It is composed of Boyd Nystedt and Jerry Pardilla of the National Tribal Environmental Council, Patrick Durham of the Native American Fish and Wildlife Society, EPA's American Indian Environmental Office, EPA's Region 8 and their Montana Office, and EPA's Region 4 Office. Other valuable contributions are the result of staff reviews by EPA's Office of Water (Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds and the American Indian Environmental Office) and EPA's Region 5 Office.

The success of this project is largely the result of the dedication and hard work of the people who represent the Tribes and Native organizations included as case studies in this report. The Wetlands Division gratefully acknowledges the contributions of Mary Clare Weatherwax of the Blackfeet Tribe; Mike Connolly and Stephanie Ostrom of the Campo Band of Kumeyaay Indians; Mary Price of the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation; Douglas Cox of the Menominee Tribe of Wisconsin; Janet Strong of the Nisqually Tribe; Steve Linskens of the Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin; Christine Celentano, Paul Jackson (now with The Nature Conservancy), Tom Evans, Robin Reich, Walter Meganack, and Violet Yeaton of Port Graham/Nanwalek Native Villages; Craig Tepper, Patti Lodge, and Rhonda Roff of the Seminole Tribe of Florida; Luis Zamora and Don LightningBow of the Taos Pueblo; Jeff Day and Matthew Vanderhoop of the Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head; and Candy Lupe of the White Mountain Apache Tribe. The Wetlands Division would also like to thank Dan LaPlant (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Anchorage, Alaska) for his assistance with the Port Graham/Nanwalek case study. In addition, the Wetlands Division extends many thanks to Amy Wing and the Native American Fish and Wildlife Society for providing basic data on Tribal water programs.

The Wetlands Division would also like to recognize the time and consideration of all those Tribes and individuals whose wetland programs are not included in this report, but who contributed to the project in important and meaningful ways. They include Fran King Brown of the Southern Ute Indian Tribe; Daniel Pawless of the



Bad River Band of Chippewa Indians; Butch Garner of the Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma; Eric Kreeger of the Coeur D'Alene Tribe; Vina Smith of the Fort Peck Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes; Gene Duncan of the Miccosukee Tribe; Greg Soder of the Narragansett Tribe; Jonathan Matthews of the Nez Perce Tribe; Chris Gannon of the Warm Springs Tribe; Julie Coffin and Heidi Leighton of the Passamaquoddy Tribe; Skip Wyle, Dan Kuzneers, Tammis Coffin, and John Banks of the Penobscot Nation; D.J. Monette of the San Carlos Apache Tribe; Beth Janello of the Pueblo of Sandia; Victor Martino and Keith Dublanica of the Skokomish Tribe; Dave Fuller of the Suquamish Tribe; and Jim Piatt of the Tesuque Pueblo.

Executive Summary

The nation's wetlands are vital links between land and water resources. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in partnership with other federal agencies, state, Tribal, and local governments, is responsible for restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters. EPA uses both regulatory and nonregulatory programs to further its mission of wetland protection.

The Wetlands Division, a division of the Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds in EPA's Office of Water, prepared this document to encourage Tribes to develop effective wetland programs. Eleven case studies highlight various components of wetland programs of Tribes and Native organizations. In each case study, the description of the wetland programs or projects came directly from the Tribe or Native organization itself. The programs and projects are categorized by general program components, such as wetland and watershed planning or restoration. Where a Tribe or Native organization has developed a unique program to protect its wetlands, such programs are described separately from general program components. Additionally, some of the case studies discuss program components that have a beneficial impact on wetland resources even though they do not have a direct wetland focus.

The case studies provide information about many of the tools and strategies Tribes and Native organizations from around the country are using to address wetland issues so that other Tribes, as well as state and local governments, can learn from their experience. Creative solutions can often emerge from reviewing what others have done, and both failures and successes can be instructive. In some of the case studies presented, Tribes or Native organizations have evaluated the effectiveness of a tool or strategy and adjusted their wetland program to reflect what they have learned.

In addition to the case studies, *Tribal Wetland Program Highlights* includes a comparative analysis of the tools and strategies the 11 Tribes and Native organizations are using to meet their wetland protection goals. It is summarized in a table listing the various program components and cross-referencing them to indicate which Tribes or Native organizations include a program component as part of their wetland protection program. Finally, appendices in the report include a wide range of wetland-related information that Tribes should find useful.

Each Tribe is unique, and the range of possible ways to implement an effective wetland protection program is not limited to what was done in the past. One objective of this report is to demonstrate that every program or project to protect wetlands is a step toward greater understanding and protection of wetland resources.
